IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.N.KRISHNAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 1ST AUGUST 2007 / 10TH SRAVANA 1929

RP.No. 270 of 2006()

AGAINST THE ORDER IN CRP.425/1999

.....

: REVISION PETITIONER/RESPONDENT.

STATE OF KERALA-REPRESENTED BY
SPECIAL TAHSILDAR LA(G) NO.1, PALAKKAD.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.R. BINDU

RESPONDENT: RESPONDENT/CLAIMANT.

A.KANDANKUTTY, S/O. APPUKUTTY,
ELANTHANCODE, PALAKKAD.

BY ADVS.SRI.T.C.MOHAN DAS
SRI.M.SUNIL
SMT.S.CHITHRA

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 01/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER ON I.A.NO.823/2006 IN R.P.NO.270 OF 2006

DISMISSED. SD/- M.N.KRISHNAN, JUDGE DT.1/8/2007

//TRUE COPY// P.S. TO JUDGE

M.N.KRISHNAN, J

==========

R.P. No.270 OF 2006 IN CRP NO.425 of 1999

==========

Dated this the 1st day of August 2007

O R D E R

This review petition is filed to review the order passed by this court in C.R.P.No.425 of 1999. The revision was preferred by the State against the order of the court below dated 29.7.1998. This court found that there was a previous order passed on 21.11.1997 fixing the amount. Admittedly no challenge has been made against that order. When it is so, the said order has become final. When the said order has become final, one cannot file an application again for holding otherwise against the terms of that order. Though it is in the same proceedings, the said order will operate as res judicata.

Therefore this court on a consideration of those aspects found that there is no merit to entertain the civil revision petition and dismissed the same. I think there is no error apparent on the face of the record nor

anything which requires interference. Therefore the review petition is dismissed.

M.N.KRISHNAN, JUDGE

Cdp/-